

1	2	3	4	5
(b) Training Centres Upgraded (Nos.)				
	Target :		**	**
	Achievement :		15	12

- * No proposals have been received from States
 (a) Construction of sanctioned fishery harbours was in progress.
 ** No targets were fixed for these items.
 P : Provisional.

Central Seeds Act

3668. SHRI K.C. KONDAIAH : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Karnataka Government has requested the Centre to amend certain Sections of the Central Seeds Act with a view to ensuring certification of the Hybrid Seeds;

(b) if so, the reasoning given by the Karnataka Government for amending certain Sections of the Central Seeds Act; and

(c) the steps taken or proposed to be taken by the Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (EXCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND DAIRYING) (SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Question does not arise.

Shortage of Palmolein in Super Bazar

3669. SHRI HARIN PATHAK : Will the Minister of CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is a shortage of palmolein being supplied through the network of Super Bazar in Delhi;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) the time by which the supply of palmolein is expected to be normal in Delhi;

(d) whether the Super Bazar has defaulted in making payment for the supply of palmolein;

(e) if so, whether any responsibility has been fixed for this lapse on the part of the Super Bazar;

(f) if so, the action taken in the matter; and

(g) if not, the reason therefor?

THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND MINISTER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (SHRI DEVENDRA PRASAD YADAV) :

(a) and (b). It is reported by the Super Bazar that as against an allocation of 2660 MTs from April to December, 1996 by the Government of NCT of Delhi, Super Bazar has received 1552.57 MTs of palmolein from HVOC resulting in short supply.

(c) Government of India have not received any information from the Government of NCT of Delhi about the shortage of palmolein.

(d) According to the information furnished by the Super Bazar they have not defaulted in making payment for supply of palmolein.

(e) to (g). Do not arise.

Wholesale Markets for Urban Food Distribution System

3670. DR. C. SILVERA : Will the Minister of CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state :

(a) whether a four day workshop on the role of wholesale markets for urban food distribution system in Asia was held at Suraj Kund, near Delhi recently;

(b) if so, the details of the matter discussed and suggestions/decisions made thereat; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken to implement the suggestions as well as decisions at the workshop?

THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND MINISTER OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (SHRI DEVENDRA PRASAD YADAV) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The workshop consisted of presentation of case study papers, technical sessions and field trips for inter-action of experiences in the member countries of Association of Food Marketing Agencies in Asia and the Pacific under the aegis of Food Corporation of India. The discussions generally centred around the role of wholesale markets for urban food distribution system in the matter of regularisation of supplies, improvement of quality, stabilisation of prices, minimising costs, meeting of export demand etc. Only certain general recommendations were made by the workshop.

Protection of Wild Life

3671. SHRI NARAYAN ATHAWALAY :

SHRI D.P. YADAV :

SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE :

Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government have taken a comprehensive review of various ongoing schemes for

the protection of wild life and species under the threat of extinction;

(b) if so, the details of the progress/achievements reported under each of these schemes during the past three years along with shortcomings observed/ reported during monitoring process, scheme-wise and State-wise;

(c) the extent of Central and foreign financial assistance received by various NGOs involved in implementation of these schemes particularly for the Project Elephant and the Project Tiger during the past three years and availability of such funds for the current year; and

(d) the expenditure incurred on the said schemes, scheme-wise and State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (CAPT. JAI NARAYAN PRASAD NISHAD) : (a) Yes, Sir. In addition to regular monitoring of various schemes during field inspections a comprehensive review of the Project Tiger scheme has been undertaken while evaluation of the schemes on "Development of National Parks and Sanctuaries", and "Eco-development in and around National Parks and Sanctuaries" is currently underway.

(b) The major recommendations emanating from the review of Project Tiger scheme relate to the need for providing enhanced budgetary support; preparing scientific management plans with clear prescriptions, enhancing prey base through habitat management; controlling grazing, stepping up ecodevelopment programmes including alternatives to local people; posting of suitable trained staff, and providing special pay to them, carrying out research through Wildlife Institute of India; extending legal support for prosecution of offenders and defence staff, etc., These recommendations pertain to all the States where the Project Tiger scheme is in operation.

(c) NGOs are not directly involved in implementation of the Centrally Sponsored Schemes such as Project

Tiger and Project Elephant. However, some NGOs and individuals have been engaged as consultants to carry out certain studies and prepare reports, particularly under the Project preparation phase of the India Ecodevelopment Project with funding from GEF-IDA. Details are given in Statement-I.

(d) The State-wise details of funds sanctioned and their utilisation under four Centrally Sponsored Schemes namely, "Development of National Parks and Sanctuaries", "Project Tiger", "Project Elephant", and "Eco-development in and around National Parks and Sanctuaries", are given in Statement II (A) to (D).

STATEMENT-I

Financial Assistance Provided to Various Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) under Externally Aided Ecodevelopment Project.

S.No.	Name of the NGO	Amt. Provided.Earmarked (in Lakhs)			
		1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
1.	World Wide Fund for Nature-India, New Delhi	-	-	2.60	7.10
2.	Xavier's Institute, Ranchi	-	-	-	1.89
3.	Myrada, Bangalore	-	-	-	1.65
4.	Om Consultants, Bangalore	-	-	-	12.00
5.	Centre for Environment Education, Ahmedabad	-	-	-	5.835
Other Organisations/Institutions					
1.	Wildlife Institute of India	-	10.40	-	108.44
2.	SACON, coimbatore	-	0.90	-	-
3.	National Institute of Rural Development, Hyderabad	-	-	-	1.55
4.	ITES, New Delhi	-	-	-	10.95
5.	Indian Institute of public Administration, New Delhi	5.94	5.23	-	75.00
		5.94	16.53	2.60	224.415

STATEMENT-II (A)

Statement Showing the Amount of Financial Assistance Providing to the States and Union Territories in the Last three years and for the Development of National Parks and Sanctuaries

State	Rs. in lakhs					
	1993-94		1994-95		1995-96	
	S	U	S	U	S	U
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Andhra Pradesh	54.53	54.53	69.803	49.683	59.033	56.823
Arunachal Pradesh	30.873	15.467	31.44	9.65	7.305	5.48
Assam	103.97	Nil	Nil	56.17	Nil	4.57
Bihar	Nil	Nil	57.85	12.42	2.26	Nil
Goa	14.485	4.425	14.301	9.851	5.478	0.11
Gujarat	36.06	25.314	31.70	-	27.59	NA
Haryana	10.75	6.00	14.88	14.23	13.13	9.49

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Himachal Pradesh	84.735	36.905	84.224	56.907	61.23	32.66
J and K	15.575	15.575	2.70	2.70	27.31	24.58
Karnataka	114.54	113.575	132.86	130.83	108.32	88.53
Kerala	42.54	27.734	70.815	62.485	64.80	54.80
Madhya Pradesh	132.35	119.56	98.08	80.41	186.20	185.25
Maharashtra	51.76	32.314	127.485	22.885	35.43	17.71
Manipur	15.15	14.75	19.30	19.30	25.29	25.29
Meghalaya	19.81	19.81	19.03	14.53	26.25	0.55
Mizoram	15.84	13.142	25.05	25.05	7.46	7.06
Nagaland	2.62	Nil	Nil	2.62	5.015	-
Orissa	71.33	69.341	72.96	55.71	50.61	16.93
Punjab	19.91	9.248	14.195	13.277	4.975	1.77
Rajasthan	79.456	56.916	64.30	58.08	85.555	74.905
Sikkim	29.90	29.90	33.42	33.42	23.926	18.367
Tamil Nadu	55.33	55.33	15.43	Nil	20.83	NA
Tripura	9.75	9.75	3.344	1.954	24.72	NA
Uttar Pradesh	75.55	75.548	75.10	55.458	68.34	39.19
West Bengal	41.26	33.46	63.245	63.075	70.529	70.529
A and N Islands	-	-	3.00	-	-	-
Daman and Diu	1.50	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1129.56	838.594	1144.49	724.28	1011.58	734.594

S=Sanctioned

U=Utilised

STATEMENT-II (B)

Statement Showing the Amount of Financial Assistance Provided to the States in the last Three Years for 'Project Tiger'

States	1993-94		1994-95		1995-96	
	S	U	S	U	S	U
1. Uttar Pradesh	91.715	82.14	90.554	85.00	96.64	94.86
2. Bihar	54.20	53.50	51.50	51.50	62.10	85.25
3. Orissa	46.52	45.69	69.38	60.94	50.125	44.05
4. Madhya Pradesh	125.101	104.51	142.505	108.50	140.979	105.44
5. Assam	36.38	36.38	42.325	42.05	55.65	34.81
6. Rajasthan	105.79	95.48	96.525	87.41	113.765	109.06
7. Karnataka	35.196	35.20	47.75	47.75	50.33	52.04
8. West Bengal	84.308	83.74	87.54	84.08	90.165	86.13
9. Maharashtra	36.713	36.71	63.11	49.90	52.253	17.82
10. Kerala	46.73	32.58	16.673	15.63	28.065	27.60
11. Arunachal Pradesh	32.00	24.80	34.54	28.81	35.672	NA
12. Andhra Pradesh	25.27	23.48	26.38	23.79	27.36	20.33
13. Tamilnadu	40.865	17.68	29.12	21.25	24.48	27.02
14. Mizoram	-	-	-	-	13.45	13.18
Total	760.802	661.89	797.998	706.61	841.036	714.59

S=Sanctioned

U=Utilised

STATEMENT II (C)

Statement Showing the Amount of Financial Assistance provided to the States in the last Three Years for 'Project Elephant'

States	1993-94		1994-95		1995-96	
	S	U	S	U	S	U
Andhra Pradesh	32.15	32.11	32.62	17.13	15.21	15.21
Arunachal Pradesh	11.17	6.36	19.12	0.42	7.52	7.52
Assam	3.00	3.00	51.15	8.51	-	-
Bihar	-	-	-	-	38.00	Nil
Karnataka	73.57	73.57	68.88	62.97	68.09	68.09
Kerala	8.75	8.75	63.58	51.66	42.75	42.25
Meghalaya	288.60	265.66	24.50	1.46	20.95	20.95
Nagaland	-	-	7.10	Nil	-	-
Orissa	37.70	Nil	47.00	37.70	-	-
Tamilnadu	24.52	14.20	19.40	2.96	-	-
Uttar Pradesh	21.48	21.48	58.40	27.88	47.76	47.76
West Bengal	39.74	39.74	50.10	28.52	62.06	62.06
Madhya Pradesh	17.92	17.92	34.15	34.15	-	-
Total	558.60	482.69	480.00	273.36	301.84	263.84

S - Sanctioned

U - Utilised

STATEMENT-II (D)

Statement Showing the Amount of Financial Assistance Provided to the States and in the Last three years for 'Eco-Development around Protected Areas'

States	1993-94		1994-95		1995-96	
	S	U	S	U	S	U
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Andhra Pradesh	19.65	16.77	18.91	18.91	50.71	19.31
Arunachal Pradesh	6.75	4.945	7.928	9.07	10.88	3.47
Assam	11.25	4.75	-	-	-	-
Bihar	32.70	-	8.25	-	-	-
Punjab	5.65	5.25	2.218	2.218	4.45	-
Gujarat	14.083	11.508	-	-	11.84	-
Haryana	-	-	3.60	3.60	-	-
Himachal Pradesh	12.20	8.388	35.789	32.189	15.75	-
Jammu and Kashmir	13.05	13.05	-	-	-	-
Karnataka	59.785	14.515	14.07	13.68	75.15	21.73
Kerala	49.359	38.215	36.41	33.86	53.04	45.48
Madhya Pradesh	102.01	31.685	63.072	33.18	77.45	8.05
Maharashtra	10.91	10.46	8.276	4.74	19.91	18.02

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Manipur	-	-	-	-	4.45	4.45
Meghalaya	6.54	6.54	-	-	3.785	-
Mizoram	-	-	2.329	2.329	9.32	-
Nagaland	-	-	2.75	2.75	-	-
Orissa	31.90	-	31.70	13.00	14.85	-
Rajasthan	33.06	33.06	23.88	12.61	34.875	7.65
Sikkim	10.30	10.30	13.95	13.95	4.35	-
Tamilnadu	13.38	3.21	-	-	-	-
Uttar Pradesh	25.27	18.52	12.00	12.00	24.01	23.21
West Bengal	53.516	53.516	57.168	41.11	51.02	44.47
Total	296.434	284.682	484.280	249.196	346.150	195.84

S=Sanctioned

U=Utilised

[Translation]

Setting up of Coal Based Fertilizer Plant

3672. SHRI R.L.P. VERMA : Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state :

(a) whether there was a plan to set up a coal based fertilizer plant in Girideh (Bihar) during 1986-96; and

(b) if so, whether the Government would pay attention towards setting up a fertilizer plant in this industrial area and thereby generating jobs for the youth there?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI SIS RAM OLA): (a) and (b). There has been no proposal for setting up a fertilizer plant in Girideh (Bihar) in the Central Government Sector. As per the Industrial Policy Statement issued by Government on 24.7.1991, no industrial licence is required for setting up a fertilizer plant. The entrepreneurs are free to set up fertilizer projects anywhere in India, subject to environment clearance.

[English]

National Watershed Development Project

3673. SHRI UDDHAB BARMAN : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether a number of schemes under the National Watershed Development Project have been taken up in the State of Assam;

(b) if so, the name of the schemes and the amounts allotted for each of the schemes; and

(c) the progress achieved after the implementation of each of the schemes so far?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (EXCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND DAIRYING) (SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA) : (a) A Centrally Sponsored Scheme of National Watershed Development Project for Rainfed Areas (NWDPA), which is a multi-component project is under implementation in the State of Assam since 1990-91. Under this project 110 micro-watersheds have been taken up in as many blocks covering an area of 104973 hectares with an estimated cost of Rs. 2494.26 lakhs.

(b) An amount of Rs. 2843.00 lakhs has been allocated under this project to the State of Assam for the period from 1990-91 to 1996-97.

(c) An amount of Rs. 2378.38 lakhs has been released to the State of Assam so far out of which an amount of Rs. 1081.72 lakhs has been utilised up to June, 1996.

Criminal Case Against IPS Officials

3674. SHRI GANGA CHARAN RAJPUT : Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) the number of complaints received regarding corruption and the criminal cases registered against the IPS Officials;

(b) whether the Government are conducting any inquiry against the IPS Officials; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI MOHD. MAQBOOL DAR) : (a) to (c). As per information available, a total number of 530 complaints were received by the Central Government against IPS officers during the period